DDA, by virtue of powers delegated to him, decides the cases keeping in view the subsisting policy guidelines as the merits/circumstances of a particular case may warrant.

(d) DDA has reported that there is no such case except the case of Shri .Piara Singh, Sub-lessee of plot No. 23, Sarai Juliana Cooperative House Building Society Limited, who has made a request to DDA for allowing him to deposit the demanded composition fee subject to the decision of the court case.

The case of Shri Piara Singh was examined and, as per the advice of Chief Legal Adviser, DDA, the decision already taken by the Vice-Chairman, DDA and communicated to Shri Piara Singh, vide DDA's letter dated 22.12.1992, is to be adhered to. Accordingly, a reply was sent to Shri Piara Singh on 6.8.1993.

The total amount spent on litigation in this case can be ascertained only after its final disposal by the Court.

Laying sewer in Laxmi Nagar, Delhi

4564. SHRI GAYA SINGH: Will the Minister of HUMAN DEVELOPMENT be pleased to state:

(a) whether estimates have been prepared and money allocated for laying sewer in different blocks of Laxmi Nagar;

...

(b) if so, the details thereof; and

(c) by when the work will start?

THE MINISTER OF STATE IN THE MINISTRY OF URBAN DEVELOP. MENT WITH ADDITIONAL CHARGE 3F THE MINISTER OF STATE IN THE MINISTRY OF WATER RESOURCES (SHRI P. K. THUNGON): *a)* and (b) Delhi Water Supply and Gewage Disposal Undertaking has reported 'that an estimate amounting to Rs. 92 lacs has been sanctioned for provid- ng/laying internal sewers in 17 colonies f Laxmi Nagar.

(c) As reported by D.W.S. and S.D.U. enders have been invited for all the olonies under 8 groups and works will be taken in hand on award of works.

Principles for exemption of litigation in DDA

4565. SHRI N. E. BALARAM: Will the Minister of URBAN DEVELOPMENT be pleased to state:

(a) whether valid extension of time is not mandatory for sanction or revalidation of the Building Plans to proceed with the construction work on the plot after a period of three years from the date of releasing the building activity by the DDA;

(b) what are the principles Governing for exemption of litigation period on merits laid down by the DDA in the cases where no orders are in operation by the courts for staying the construction work on the plots; and

(c) whether the allottee of the plot can proceed with the construction work on the plot beyond! the valid extension period in those cases where the building plan is valid for one year but the extension of time was valid only for one month and the Department (DDA) is bound to grant the extension later on? ,

THE MINISTER OF STATE IN THE MINISTRY OF URBAN DEVELOPMENT WITH ADDITIONAL CHARGE OF THE MINISTER OF STATE IN TOE MINISTRY OF WATER RESOURCES (SHRI P. K. THUNGON): (a) According to the DDA, as per the terms of lease deed/sub-lease deed, the lessee/sub-lessee, of a plot is required to complete the construction within a stipulated period. If the building is not constructed within the stipulated period, • time estimated is rekuired. to be obtained by the lessee/sub-lessee for sanction/ revalidation of building plans, which is granted in accordance with the guidelines dated 1-5-91 issued with the approval of the- Lessor/Lt. Governor.

(b) No separate guidelines/principles have been laid down by the DDA to decide such cases. However, VC, DDA, by virtue of powers delegated to him, decides the cases keeping in view the | existing policy guidelines as per the particular circumstances of various cases.'